Agenda, March 15, 2002  
CCGB Meeting  

1. Approval of Minutes of 3/08/02 Meeting  
2. Undergraduate Announcements  
3. Sally Klingel (ILR) Presentation  
4. Motion for CCGB consideration: To re-title the Engineering College graduation honors from the current Latin system to a sequential scale of “distinction”. Without changing GPA criteria, the names shall change as follows:  
   Old name               New name
   Cum laude              with distinction  
   Magna cum laude        with high distinction  
   Summa cum laude        with highest distinction

CCGB Minutes  
March 8, 2002


Other:  C. Pakkala

Approval of Minutes:  The minutes of March 1, 2002, were approved with minor revisions.

Undergraduate Announcements:  D. Maloney Hahn (Advising) mentioned that 25 engineering students are studying abroad this year.  T. Jordan (Assoc. Dean) stated that the University’s Kendall Carpenter Advising Award nominations are due next Friday, March 15th, and added that individual departments are encouraged to apply for the programmatic awards, which could be used for improving undergraduate advising.  D. Maloney Hahn mentioned that the information for the College’s McCormick Award for Advising will be distributed at the end of the semester.  B. East (Admissions) stated that the admissions selection is almost done, they’ve got a great class, and they had a record number of applications.

Resume Discussion of Second Recommendation to College Program Committee:  T. Jordan (Assoc. Dean) presented overheads that represented students in the College Program from 1988 through 2001.  These indicated that although some students came in with GPA’s below 3.0, the majority of the GPA’s improved by the time the students graduated from the program.  It is not clear whether this was because the students took easier courses, whether it was because they created more interesting programs or whether it was due to some other factors.  D. Dalthorp proposed that the wording on Motion 2 be changed FROM:  The CCGB recommends to the College Program Committee that students should apply to the program before the end of the fourth semester and can only be admitted if they are in good standing TO:  The CCGB recommends to the College Program Committee that students only be admitted if they are in good standing.  The motion passed by a vote of 10 in favor, 1 opposed, and 1 abstention.

Request Across University for Uniformity of Language for Honors Designations:  T. Jordan (Assoc. Dean) spoke about how officials at the university (as a result of prodding by the folks in Biological
Sciences) have asked that the honors language be systematically applied across the university. Currently the honors students in CALS graduate “with distinction” while those in ARTS graduate “with honors.” The honors students in Engineering graduate with Latin honors (Cum Laude, Summa Cum Laude, Magna Cum Laude). It is the recommendation of the university associate deans to have all honors students graduate “with distinction.” F. Gouldin (M&AE) stated that the Associate Deans should simply meet and decide what wording to use. D. Maloney Hahn (Advising) said that the ARTS distinction is based on both the percentage of students plus their GPA’s while the Engineering honors are solely based on GPA’s. He doesn’t want to use the same type of system that CALS uses. C. Van Loan (CS) stated that uniformity is only important with options, minors, etc. His department doesn’t even indicate honors students at graduation, and everyone is fine with that. T. Healey (T&AM) emphatically stated that the students would hate the loss of the 3 levels of distinction. J. Bartsch (BEE) said that he would like to discover precisely how other colleges are dealing with honors before making any decisions, and he added that he likes the current 3 tier distinction. S. Wicker (ECE) suggested that faculty obtain feedback on this topic from their colleagues in their departments. T. Jordan will prepare a motion for the CCGB to discuss.

Visit by Sally Klingle (ILR): S. Wicker (ECE) mentioned that S. Klingle will come to the 3/15/02 CCGB meeting to present the results of the study of engineering students’ considerations at the time of their affiliation.

Items Not on Agenda But Discussed: S. Wicker (ECE) asked the CCGB members to send him information on any topics that they’d like addressed during the remainder of the spring semester.

D. Cox (Assist. Dean) mentioned that Kent Hubbell (Dean of Students) has been sent the CEE affiliation report related to North Campus and will look at that while reviewing the success of the N. Campus experience. She said that the report details some negative experiences of the freshmen, some largely due to the lack of proximity to campus and a lack of interaction with the upper-class students. T. Healey (T&AM) added that he has heard of the same type of thing from other students.

C. Van Loan (CS) asked when the College Program Committee would respond to the proposed changes to the College Program. T. Jordan (Assoc. Dean) replied that she has requested their opinions on the proposed changes and will attempt to obtain an answer before the catalog-copy is due March 12. C. Van Loan asked when the advising algorithm results would become available. D. Maloney Hahn (Advising) replied that it would be available during the first week in April. R. Kay (EAS) stated that he wants to be sure that the algorithm is working and the correct numbers are used. D. Maloney Hahn assured him that the algorithm is well-honed. He also reminded the faculty that he needs to have their input by next week on whether their departments would allow their students to take the Information Science Minor.

The meeting adjourned at 8:34 a.m.